1 2

TITLE PAGE

3 4

- Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources -

Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Type	Research article
Article Title	Characterization of volatile compounds in donkey meat by GC–IMS combined with chemometrics
Running Title (within 10 words)	Characteristic VOCs in donkey meat
Author	Mengmeng Li, Mengqi Sun, Wei Ren, Limin Man, Wenqiong Chai, Guiqin Liu, Mingxia Zhu, Changfa Wang
Affiliation	School of Agricultural Science and Engineering, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Liaocheng Research Institute of Donkey High-Efficiency Breeding and Ecological Feeding, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng, 252000, China
Special remarks – if authors have additional information to inform the editorial office	No
ORCID (All authors must have ORCID) https://orcid.org	Mengmeng Li (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6317-875X) Mengqi Sun: (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9207-8598) Wei Ren: (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2160-1270) Limin Man: (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3907-1116) Wenqiong Chai: (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2805-824X) Giuqin Liu: (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6230-9885) Mingxia Zhu (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3925-3115) Changfa Wang (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5186-1703)
Conflicts of interest List any present or potential conflict s of interest for all authors. (This field may be published.)	The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.
Acknowledgements State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available. (This field may be published.)	This word was supported by the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2022QC130), the Shandong Province Modern Agricultural Technology System Donkey Industrial Innovation Team (SDAIT-27), the Open Project of Liaocheng University Animal Husbandry Discipline (319462207-10), the Shandong Rural Revitalization Science and Technology Innovation Action Plan (2021TZXD012), the Livestock and Poultry Breeds Project of Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs (19211162), and the Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program for College Students (CXCY2023261).
Author contributions (This field may be published.)	M. Li: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing-original draft & editing, Investigation, Data curation, Project administration. M. Sun, and W. Ren: Formal analysis, Data curation, Investigation. L. Man, W. Chai, G. Liu, and M. Zhu: Data curation, Investigation, Resources. C. Wang: Supervision, Writing- review & editing, Project administration.
Ethics approval (IRB/IACUC) (This field may be published.)	The animal study protocol was approved by the Liaocheng University Animal Care and Use Committee (2023022706).

5

6 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

For the <u>corresponding</u> author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in information in each box below
First name, middle initial, last name	Changfa Wang
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	wangcf1967@163.com
Secondary Email address	wangcf1967@163.com

Postal address	School of Agricultural Science and Engineering, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng, 252000, China
Cell phone number	13153027328
Office phone number	0635-8239956
Fax number	0635-8239956
7	

9

Characterization of Specific VOCs in Different Parts of Donkey Meat

10

Abstract

11 Volatile compounds (VOCs) are an important factor affecting meat quality. 12 However, the characteristic VOCs in different parts of donkey meat remain unknown. 13 Accordingly, this study represents a preliminary investigation of VOCs to differentiate 14 between different cuts of donkey meat by using headspace-gas chromatography-ion 15 mobility spectrometry (HS-GC-IMS) combined with chemometrics analysis. The results showed that the 31 VOCs identified in donkey meat, ketones, alcohols, 16 aldehydes, and esters were the predominant categories. A total of 10 VOCs with relative 17 18 odor activity values ≥ 1 were found to be characteristic of donkey meat, including 19 pentanone, hexanal, nonanal, octanal, and 3-methylbutanal. The VOC profiles in different parts of donkey meat were well differentiated using three- and two-20 21 dimensional fingerprint maps. Nine differential VOCs that represent potential markers 22 to discriminate different parts of donkey meat were identified by chemometrics analysis. 23 These include 2-butanone, 2-pentanone, and 2-heptanone. Thus, the VOC profiles in 24 donkey meat and specific VOCs in different parts of donkey meat were revealed by 25 HS-GC-IMS combined with chemometrics, which provided a basis and method of 26 investigating the characteristic VOCs and quality control of donkey meat.

Keywords: donkey meat; volatile compound; headspace–gas chromatography–ion
mobility spectrometry; chemometrics

Introduction

Flavor is one of the most important sensory qualities of meat and meat products, influencing consumers' perception of meat quality and their purchase decisions. Meat flavor results from the interplay of taste and smell with volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and is mainly related to the generation of VOCs (Aaslyng et al., 2017). More than 1,000 VOCs have been identified in meat and meat products, including mainly aldehydes, alcohols, ketones, acids, and others (Kosowska et al., 2017). These compounds are generated by a range of chemical reactions, such as the Maillard reaction, Strecker reaction, lipid oxidation, and lipid-Maillard interactions (Bassam et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2019). The Maillard reaction generates the basic flavor compounds of meat, such as S-, N- and O-containing heterocyclic compounds (Sohail et al., 2022). Lipid degradation typically generates species-specific meat flavor compounds, mainly aldehydes, ketones, alcohols, acids, and esters (Bassam et al., 2022). There is almost no difference in meat flavor when fats are removed from meats indicating that lipids play a critical role in the formation of meat-specific flavor compounds.

Previous studies have suggested that pork VOCs are breed-dependent and correlated with variance in fatty-acid profiles (Wu et al., 2022). For instance, feeding pigs a C18:1-rich diet has been shown to modify lipid compositions by increasing C18:1 levels, improving pleasing flavor attributes in pork (Navarro et al., 2021). Furthermore, the VOC profiles of donkey meat are significantly affected by ageing (Polidori et al., 2022). Specifically, aging increases the release of fatty acids, which are substrates for

VOC formation (Meinert et al., 2009). Triglycerides (TGs) and phospholipids containing phosphatidylcholine (PC) and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) are key lipids for binding and generating VOCs in roasted mutton (Liu et al., 2022). Clearly, the VOCs of meat and meat products are affected by breed, diet, rearing methods, ageing time, and cooking method, all of which are closely related to lipid content and composition. The lipid contents and fatty acid profiles of donkey muscle tissue from different body parts are different (Li et al., 2022). In addition, the lipid profiles of donkey muscles from different body parts are significantly different, especially in terms of TGs and phospholipids (Li et al., 2021). These differences inevitably lead to the presence of different VOCs in different body parts. Nevertheless, there are relatively few studies that attempt to identify the characteristic VOCs of donkey meat and establish differential VOCs to discriminate meat from different body parts.

The headspace–gas chromatography–ion mobility spectrometry (HS–GC–IMS) is an emerging technology for the visualized detection of VOCs from foodstuffs, including those in meat samples (Liu et al., 2020). HS–GC–IMS allows high-accuracy and -sensitivity separation and qualitation of VOCs with no sample pretreatment, effectively solving the problems of conventional GC–MS technology associated with the loss of VOCs caused by long analysis times and complex sample pretreatment (Wang et al., 2020). In recent years, GC–IMS combined with chemometrics has emerged as a promising approach for characterizing and visualizing differential VOCs in meat, such as chicken, pork, yak meat, and water-boiled salted duck (Aheto et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2022; Li et al., 2022). Chemometrics models, such as partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), are commonly used for sample classification (Zhu et al., 2023). Moreover, relative odor activity values (ROAVs) can be used to identify and study the contributions of individual VOCs to the aroma of meat (Zhu et al., 2020). However, few studies have combined the use of ROAVs with HS–GC–IMS and chemometrics to determine the VOCs of donkey meat.

Accordingly, in this study, we aimed to (i) obtain the VOC fingerprints of donkey meat using HS–GC–IMS; (ii) identify the characteristic VOCs of donkey meat by ROVA analysis; and (iii) identify differential VOCs for the discrimination of donkey meat samples from different cuts using chemometrics analysis. Thus, this work facilitates a new understanding of donkey meat flavor and provides a basis and method for the control of donkey meat flavor.

Material and methods

Animals

Meat samples were obtained from 20 Dezhou donkeys (Sanfen, male) in the slaughterhouse of Dong'e Tianlong Food Co., Ltd. (Shandong, China). The donkeys were provided by a local farm in Liaocheng City (Shandong, China) and reared under the same diet and management conditions. The composition and nutrient levels of the diet are provided in Table S1. The average final body weight of the donkeys was 236 ± 28 kg. Slaughtering procedures were performed according to CAC/RCP 41-1993 and ISO/TS 34700:2016 international standards. The donkeys were electrocuted and bled

to death, and then removed the skin. The longissimus dorsi (LD), gluteus maximus (GM), and biceps femoris (BF) were removed and immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen before being transported back to the laboratory, where they were stored in a refrigerator at -80 °C.

HS-GC-IMS analysis

A FlavourSpec® Flavour HS-GC-IMS instrument (Shandong Haineng Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd, China) was used to analyze the donkey meat samples. Analysis method for the VOCs in samples based on relevant references with few modifications (Man et al., 2023a). Briefly, a 1.5-g minced meat sample was placed into a 20 mL headspace vial and incubated at 60 °C for 15 min. Subsequently, a 500-µL headspace sample was injected automatically into the GC-IMS instrument. The temperatures of the syringe and injector were set to 85 and 45 °C, respectively. GC separation was performed using an MXT-5 capillary column (15 m × 0.53 mm; 1 µm) at 60 °C under isothermal conditions. The GC column temperature was 60 °C with nitrogen. Nitrogen (≥99.999% purity) was used as the carrier gas for 0–2 min at 2 mL/min and then 2–20 min at 100 mL/min. The length of the drift tube, linear voltage in the tube, and drift temperature used for IMS were 9.8 cm, 400 V/cm, and 45 °C, respectively. Nitrogen was used as the drift gas at a flow rate of 150 mL/min. The retention indexes (RIs) of the VOCs were determined using C4-C9 n-ketones (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Beijing Co., Ltd, China) analyzed under the same experimental conditions as references. Four parallel samples were analyzed under the same conditions.

Evaluation of characteristic VOCs

The characteristic VOCs of the samples were evaluated by the ROAV method (Li et al., 2023). The formula for ROAV is as follow:

$$ROAV_i = (C_i/C_{max}) \times (T_{max}/T_i) \times 100$$

Where C_i and T_i are the relative percentage content of each VOC and the corresponding sensory threshold, respectively, and ROAV_{max} is defined as that contributing most to overall flavor and is set at 100. The key characteristic VOCs were defined as those with ROVA \geq 1, with higher values indicating greater contributions to overall flavor.

Statistical analysis

The data of VOCs in the donkey meat were performed by analyzed using SPSS 24 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The NIST and IMS databases in the FlavourSpec® Flavour Library were used to qualitatively identify VOCs of GC-IMS. Differences among samples were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey test. The results are presented as mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM) with a statistical significance difference in *P* < 0.05. Two- and three-dimensional fingerprint maps of the VOCs were constructed by Gallery plug-in and Reporter plug-in on FlavourSpec® Flavour, respectively. Orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) and heatmap visualization of the data were used by MetaboAnalyst 5.0 online software (https://www.metaboanalyst.ca/). Variable

importance in projection (VIP) > 1 and P < 0.05 were utilized to screen for differences VOC molecules among samples.

Results and discussion

Ketones, alcohols, and aldehydes are characteristic VOC categories in donkey meat

The VOCs in different parts of donkey meat are shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1. Of the 40 VOCs detected in donkey meat, 31 were identified in donkey meat (Fig. 1a and Table 1). This number of VOCs is significantly lower than the numbers of VOCs (109 and 122 VOCs) identified in two previous studies performed using GC–MS (Maggiolino et al., 2020; Polidori et al., 2022). This is because, compared with GC–MS, smaller-molecule VOCs are detected by GC–IMS, the number of which is limited. Furthermore, the lack of a GC–IMS library corresponding to the NIST GC–MS library is another reason that GC–IMS identifies a lower number of VOCs in food flavor analysis (Wang et al., 2020). However, GC–IMS can detect VOCs in a sample (Fig. 1a) with high sensitivity and no pretreatment, so it can be used to supplement GC–MS for better detection of isomers in meat (Table 1).

The VOCs are classified into five categories; 27.50% ketones, 25.00% alcohols, 22.50% aldehydes, 2.25% esters, and 22.50% unidentified (Fig. 1b). Thus, ketones are the most abundant VOCs, followed by aldehydes and alcohols in donkey meat (Fig. 1c). This is in agreement with previous studies showing that ketones, alcohols, and aldehydes are the predominant VOCs in donkey meat (Li et al., 2020; Man et al., 2023b).

The ketone concentrations are significantly higher in the LD and GM tissue than in BF tissue (P < 0.05; Fig. 1d). C20:4 phospholipids can be oxidized to produce ketones (Zhou et al., 2014), and previous studies have shown that the donkey GM is rich in C20:4 phospholipids, including PC(O-18:2/20:4), PC(P-16:0/20:4), PE(19:0/20:4), and PE(18:1/20:4) (Li et al., 2021). In addition, aldehydes and alcohols mainly come from the degradation of 18:2 and 18:3 lipids in food (Amanpour et al., 2019). Donkey meat is abundant in polyunsaturated fatty acids compared with beef and mutton, especially 18:2 and 18:3 (Man et al., 2023). It is well known that aldehydes, alcohols, and ketones contribute substantially to meat flavor (Polidori et al., 2022). The current study confirms that ketones, alcohols, and aldehydes are the predominant VOCs in donkey meat.

2-Pentanone, hexanal, nonanal, octanal, and 3-methylbutanal are characteristic VOCs in the donkey meat

As shown in Table 1, acetone shows the highest abundance in donkey meat, followed by 3-hydroxybutan-2-one, hexanal, and 2-pentanone. The importance of VOCs in meat depends on not only their contents but also their ROAVs (Liu et al., 2022). ROAVs ca be used to determine the contributions of VOCs to overall flavor profiles, with ROAVs \geq 1 representing key VOCs in molecular sensory science (Xu et al., 2017). In the present study, a total of 10 characteristic VOCs with ROAVs \geq 1 were identified in the donkey meat (Fig. 2), including 2-pentanone (ROAV = 78.21–100.00), hexanal (ROAV = 17.35–39.35), nonanal (ROAV = 16.95–55.09), octanal (ROAV =

15.01–38.63), 3-methylbutanal (ROAV = 9.48–25.84), heptanal (ROAV = 3.74–14.98), acetone (ROAV = 2.58–10.22), 2-butanone (ROAV = 2.82–4.10), ethyl acetate (ROAV = 1.08-3.20, and 2-octanol (ROAV = 0.59-2.02). This is consistent with our previous study, in which heptanal, 1-octen-3-ol, ethyl acetate, and hexanal with $OAVs \ge 1$ were determined to be the predominant flavor compounds in donkey meat (Man et al., 2023). The characteristic flavors of meats and their products has been extensively analyzed in recent years (Sohail et al., 2022). For instance, hexanal, (E,E)-2,4-decadienal, and 1octen-3-ol were shown to have the most predominant impact on the overall flavor of sheep muscles (Li et al., 2022); hexanal, heptanal, and 1-octen-3-ol were determined as the characteristic odorants in roasted mutton (Liu et al., 2022); hexanal and 1-octen-3ol were found to be the major VOCs in Chinese chickens (Jin et al., 2021); hexanal, nonanal, and 1-octen-3-ol were identified as the main contributors to the overall flavor of boiled pork (Han et al., 2020); and hexanal, heptanal, octanal, nonanal, and 1-octene-3-ol were shown to be the key VOCs in Beijing roast duck (Liu et al., 2019). Interestingly, recent studies have shown that hexanal, heptanal, and octanal are main VOCs in Dezhou donkey meat according to their relative contents without using ROAVs (Li et al., 2020). Furthermore, hexanal and 2-pentyl-furan were shown to have a higher abundance in Martina Franca donkey meat according to their relative contents determined by GC-MS (Maggiolino et al., 2020). Thus, these characteristic VOCs determine the specific flavor of meat and are species dependent, which is why different kinds of meat have unique aromas (Wu et al., 2022). Our results indicate that 2pentanone, hexanal, nonanal, octanal, and 3-methylbutanal predominantly contribute to the unique flavor of donkey meat.

2-Butanone, 2-pentanone, 2-heptanone, nonanal, and isopropyl alcohol are potential markers to discriminate different cuts of donkey meat

Topographic plots of the VOC fingerprints for different cuts of donkey meat are shown in Fig. 3. The different VOCs in different body parts are shown in a threedimensional spectrum (Fig. 3a) and a top view (Fig. 3b). The fingerprint gallery plots (Fig. 3c) further demonstrate the differential VOCs in different cuts, including 2butanone, 2-butanone-D, and 2-heptanone. These results are consistent with those of a previous study in which GC-IMS spectra and fingerprints were shown to intuitively discriminate differential samples (Wang et al., 2020). This indicates that donkey meat samples from different body parts can be quickly discriminated by GC-IMS analysis through their VOC profiles. To further discriminate the VOCs from different cuts of donkey meat, chemometrics was applied to analyze the GC-IMS data, including supervised OPLS-DA-based, variance, and heatmap analyses. As shown in Fig. 4a, the donkey meat cuts are well differentiated by OPLS-DA. Validation plots show that the OPLS-DA results are reliable and free from overfitting (Fig. 4b). A previous study has demonstrated that OPLS-DA can discriminate different samples (Li et al., 2022), which is consistent with our results. VIP values represent the weight values of OPLS-DA model variables and reflect the importance of cumulative differences between

metabolites for sample grouping. When the VIP of a variable is > 1, the variable is important, so it is usually used as a screening condition for potential biomarkers.

A total of 11 differential VOCs were identified using the criterion VIP > 1 (Fig. 4c). In addition, 10 VOCs show significant difference for different cuts by P < 0.05(Table 2). The levels of benzaldehyde, 2-heptanone, 3-methylbutanal, 2-butanone, and 2-butanone-D are significantly higher for LD and GM than for BF (P < 0.05), whereas nonanal shows the opposite trend (P < 0.05; Table 2). As shown in Fig. 4d, nine differential VOCs were identified for different cuts of donkey meat by setting VIP > 1and P < 0.05, which is consistent with the fingerprint results. Previous studies have demonstrated that hexanal, 1-octen-3-ol, and 2,3-octanedione distinguish pork cuts in indigenous Chinese pig breeds (Wu et al., 2022); the concentrations of hexanal and 1octen-3-ol in mutton are positively correlated with lipid concentrations (Li et al., 2022); and TGs and phospholipids may be key lipids for binding and generating VOCs in meats, respectively (Liu et al., 2022). Furthermore, our previous findings showed that levels of TG and phospholipid molecules are significantly different in different cuts of donkey meat (Li et al., 2021). In this study, nonanal, 2-pentanone, benzaldehyde, 2heptanone, 3-methylbutanal, 2-butanone, and isopropyl alcohol were identified as potential markers to distinguish cuts of donkey meat. Lipids are precursors for the formation of VOCs in meat (Bassam et al., 2022). Interestingly, these VOC markers are the products of lipid degradation. For example, nonanal and benzaldehyde are mainly generated by the oxidative degradation of oleic acid and α -linolenic acid

(Elmore et al., 2005). Thus, these results indicate that chemometrics analysis of HS– GC–IMS data can discriminate different samples and identify biomarkers.

Conclusion

In this study, characteristic and differential VOCs in different parts of donkey meat were comprehensively investigated by HS–GC–IMS combined with chemometrics. Overall, 31 VOCs belonging to four categories were identified, among which ketones, alcohols, and aldehydes were found to be characteristic VOC categories for donkey meat, and pentanone, hexanal, nonanal, octanal, and 3-methylbutanal were found to be the characteristic VOCs. Nine differential VOCs were identified as potential markers to discriminate cuts of donkey meat, including 2-butanone, 2-pentanone, and 2heptanone. Thus, HS–GC–IMS combined with chemometrics is a convenient and powerful method for revealing the characteristic VOCs of donkey meat and potential markers to discriminate different cuts. These results revealed the composition of VOCs in donkey meat and the differences in different parts, provide a novel scientific basis and method for the regulation of donkey meat flavor.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

M. Li: Writing-original draft & editing, Data curation, Conceptualization, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation. M. Sun, and W. Ren: Formal analysis, Data curation, Investigation. , L. Man, W. Chai, G. Liu, and M. Zhu: Data curation, Investigation, Resources. C. Wang: Project administration, Supervision, Writing-review & editing.

Declaration of interest

The all authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

This word was supported by the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2022QC130), the Shandong Rural Revitalization Science and Technology Innovation Action Plan (2021TZXD012), the Shandong Province Modern Agricultural Technology System Donkey Industrial Innovation Team (SDAIT-27), the Open Project of Liaocheng University Animal Husbandry Discipline (319462207-10), the Livestock and Poultry Breeds Project of Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs (19211162), and the Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program for College Students (CXCY2023261).

References:

- Aaslyng, M.D., Meinert, L., 2017. Meat flavour in pork and beef-From animal to meal. Meat Sci. 132, 112-117.
- Aheto, J.H., Huang, X., Tian, X., Lv, R., Dai, C., Bonah, E., Chang, X., 2020.
 Evaluation of lipid oxidation and volatile compounds of traditional dry-cured pork belly: The hyperspectral imaging and multi-gas-sensory approaches. J.
 Food Process Eng. 43, e13092.
- Amanpour, A., Kelebek, H., Selli, S., 2019. Characterization of aroma, aroma-active compounds and fatty acids profiles of cv. Nizip Yaglik oils as affected by three maturity periods of olives. J. Sci. Food. Agric. 99, 726-740.

- Bassam, S.M., Noleto-Dias, C., Farag, M.A., 2022. Dissecting grilled red and white meat flavor: Its characteristics, production mechanisms, influencing factors and chemical hazards. Food Chem. 371, 131139.
- Elmore, J.S., Cooper, S.L., Enser, M., Mottram, D.S., Sinclair, L.A., Wilkinson, R.G., Wood, J.D., 2005. Dietary manipulation of fatty acid composition in lamb meat and its effect on the volatile aroma compounds of grilled lamb. Meat Sci. 69, 233-242.
- Han, D., Zhang, C., Fauconnier, M., Mi, S., 2020. Characterization and differentiation of boiled pork from Tibetan, Sanmenxia and Duroc × (Landrac × Yorkshire) pigs by volatiles profiling and chemometrics analysis. Food Res. Int. 130, 108910.
- Huang, Q., Dong, K., Wang, Q., Huang, X., Wang, G., An, F., Luo, Z., Luo, P., 2022.Changes in volatile flavor of yak meat during oxidation based on multi-omics.Food Chem. 371, 131103.
- Jin, Y., Cui, H., Yuan, X., Liu, L., Liu, X., Wang, Y., Ding, J., Xiang, H., Zhang, X., Liu, J., Li, H., Zhao, G., Wen, J., 2021. Identification of the main aroma compounds in Chinese local chicken high-quality meat. Food Chem. 359, 129930.
- Kosowska, M., Majcher, M.A., Fortuna, T., 2017. Volatile compounds in meat and meat products. Food Sci. Technol. 37, 1-7.
- Li, C., Al-Dalali, S., Wang, Z., Xu, B., Zhou, H., 2022. Investigation of volatile flavor compounds and characterization of aroma-active compounds of water-boiled

salted duck using GC - MS - O, GC - IMS, and E-nose. Food Chem. 386, 132728.

- Li, J., Yang, Y., Tang, C., Yue, S., Zhao, Q., Li, F., Zhang, J., 2022. Changes in lipids and aroma compounds in intramuscular fat from Hu sheep. Food Chem. 383, 132611.
- Li, M., Zhu, M., Chai, W., Wang, Y., Fan, D., Lv, M., Jiang, X., Liu, Y., Wei, Q.,
 Wang, C., 2021. Determination of lipid profiles of Dezhou donkey meat using an
 LC-MS-based lipidomics method. J. Food Sci. 86, 4511-4521.
- Li, M., Zhang, D., Chai, W., Zhu, M., Wang, Y., Liu, Y., Wei, Q., Fan, D., Lv, M., Jiang, X., Wang, C., 2022. Chemical and physical properties of meat from Dezhou black donkey. Food Sci. Technol. Res. 28, 87-94.
- Li, M., Ren, W., Chai, W., Zhu, M., Man, L., Zhan, Y., Qin, H., Sun, M., Liu, J.,
 Zhang, D., Wang, Y., Wang, T., Shi, X., Wang, C., 2022. Comparing the profiles of raw and cooked donkey meat by metabonomics and lipidomics assessment.
 Front. Nutr. 9, 851761.
- Li, X., Amadou, I., Zhou, G., Qian, L., Zhang, J., Wang, D., Cheng, X., 2020. Flavor components comparison between the neck meat of donkey, swine, bovine, and sheep. Food Sci. Anim. Resour. 40, 527-540.
- Li, Y., Yuan, L., Liu, H., Liu, H., Zhou, Y., Li, M., Gao, R., 2023. Analysis of the changes of volatile flavor compounds in a traditional Chinese shrimp paste

during fermentation based on electronic nose, SPME-GC-MS and HS-GC-IMS. Food Sci. Human Wellness. 12, 173-182.

- Liu, D., Bai, L., Feng, X., Chen, Y.P., Zhang, D., Yao, W., Zhang, H., Chen, G., Liu,
 Y., 2020. Characterization of Jinhua ham aroma profiles in specific to aging time
 by gas chromatography-ion mobility spectrometry (GC-IMS). Meat Sci. 168,
 108178.
- Liu, H., Wang, Z., Zhang, D., Shen, Q., Pan, T., Hui, T., Ma, J., 2019. Characterization of key aroma compounds in beijing roasted duck by gas chromatography – olfactometry – mass spectrometry, odor-activity values, and aroma-recombination experiments. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 67, 5847-5856.
- Liu, H., Hui, T., Zheng, X., Li, S., Wei, X., Li, P., Zhang, D., Wang, Z., 2022.
 Characterization of key lipids for binding and generating aroma compounds in roasted mutton by UPLC-ESI-MS/MS and Orbitrap Exploris GC. Food Chem. 374, 131723.
- Maggiolino, A., Lorenzo, J.M., Centoducati, G., Domínguez, R., Dinardo, F.R., Marino, R., Malva, A.D., Bragaglio, A., De Palo, P., 2020. How volatile compounds, oxidative profile and sensory evaluation can change with vacuum aging in donkey meat. Animals. 10, 2126.
- Man, L., Ren, W., Qin, H., Sun, M., Yuan, S., Zhu, M., Liu, G., Wang, C., Li, M., 2023b. Characterization of the relationship between lipids and volatile

compounds in donkey, bovine, and sheep meat by UHPLC – ESI – MS and SPME – GC – MS. Lwt-Food Sci. Technol., 114426.

- Man, L., Ren, W., Sun, M., Du, Y., Chen, H., Qin, H., Chai, W., Zhu, M., Liu, G.,
 Wang, C., Li, M., 2023a. Characterization of donkey-meat flavor profiles by
 GC–IMS and multivariate analysis. Front. Nutr. 10, 1079799.
- Meinert, L., Schafer, A., Bjergegaard, C., Aaslyng, M.D., Bredie, W., 2009.
 Comparison of glucose, glucose 6-phosphate, ribose, and mannose as flavour precursors in pork; the effect of monosaccharide addition on flavour generation.
 Meat Sci. 81, 419-425.
- Navarro, M., Dunshea, F.R., Lisle, A., Roura, E., 2021. Feeding a high oleic acid (C18:1) diet improves pleasing flavor attributes in pork. Food Chem. 357, 129770.
- Polidori, P., Santini, G., Klimanova, Y., Zhang, J.J., Vincenzetti, S., 2022. Effects of ageing on donkey meat chemical composition, fatty acid profile and volatile compounds. Foods. 11, 821.
- Sohail, A., Al-Dalali, S., Wang, J., Xie, J., Shakoor, A., Asimi, S., Shah, H., Patil, P.,
 2022. Aroma compounds identified in cooked meat: A review. Food Res. Int.,
 111385.
- Wang, S., Chen, H., Sun, B., 2020. Recent progress in food flavor analysis using gas chromatography – ion mobility spectrometry (GC – IMS). Food Chem. 315, 126158.

- Wang, T., Zhen, D., Tan, J., Xie, J., Cheng, J., Zhao, J., 2020. Characterization of initial reaction intermediates in heated model systems of glucose, glutathione, and aliphatic aldehydes. Food Chem. 305, 125482.
- Wu, W., Zhan, J., Tang, X., Li, T., Duan, S., 2022. Characterization and identification of pork flavor compounds and their precursors in Chinese indigenous pig breeds by volatile profiling and multivariate analysis. Food Chem. 385, 132543.
- Xu, L., Yu, X., Li, M., Chen, J., Wang, X., 2017. Monitoring oxidative stability and changes in key volatile compounds in edible oils during ambient storage through HS-SPME/GC - MS. Int. J. Food Prop. 20, S2926-S2938.
- Zhou, L., Zhao, M., Bindler, F., Marchioni, E., 2014. Comparison of the VolatilesFormed by Oxidation of Phosphatidylcholine to Triglyceride in Model Systems.J. Agric. Food. Chem. 62, 8295-8301.
- Zhu, H., Zhu, D., Sun, J., 2023. Application of GC-IMS coupled with chemometric analysis for the classification and authentication of geographical indication agricultural products and food. Front. Nutr. 10, 1247695.
- Zhu, Y., Chen, J., Chen, X., Chen, D., Deng, S., 2020. Use of relative odor activity value (ROAV) to link aroma profiles to volatile compounds: application to fresh and dried eel (Muraenesox cinereus). Int. J. Food Prop. 23, 2257-2270.

Tables

Table 1 VOCs identified in donkey meat by HS–GC–IMS

Count	Compound	Category	CAS#	Formula	MW	RI	Rt/s	Dt/ms	Comment
1	Nonanal	Aldehydes	C124196	C9H18O	142.2	1105.6	502.978	1.48428	
2	Octanal	Aldehydes	C124130	C8H16O	128.2	1005.8	354.803	1.41251	
3	Heptanal	Aldehydes	C111717	C7H14O	114.2	898.3	262.121	1.34213	Monomer
4	Hexanal	Aldehydes	C66251	C6H12O	100.2	792.1	204.268	1.27312	Monomer
5	Hexanal-D	Aldehydes	C66251	C6H12O	100.2	788.7	202.649	1.55761	Dimer
6	Methyl isobutyl ketone	Ketones	C108101	C6H12O	100.2	727.7	175.835	1.17469	Monomer
7	3-Hydroxybutan-2-one	Ketones	C513860	C4H8O2	88.1	710.0	168.727	1.07193	Monomer
8	2-Pentanone	Ketones	C107879	C5H10O	86.1	683.2	158.874	1.12061	Monomer
9	2-Pentanone-D	Ketones	C107879	C5H10O	86.1	682.7	158.713	1.36939	Dimer
10	2-Hexanone	Ketones	C591786	C6H12O	100.2	778.6	197.964	1.18875	
11	3-Hydroxybutan-2-one-D	Ketones	C513860	C4H8O2	88.1	710.0	168.727	1.32937	Dimer
12	Benzaldehyde	Aldehydes	C100527	C7H6O	106.1	960.6	311.121	1.15045	
13	2-Heptanone	Ketones	C110430	C7H14O	114.2	886.0	254.071	1.26139	
14	Pentan-1-ol	Alcohols	C71410	C5H12O	88.1	759.6	189.382	1.25449	Monomer
15	Unidentified 1	Unidentifieds	-	-	-	650.8	149.312	1.11744	
16	Oct-1-en-3-ol	Alcohols	C3391864	C8H16O	128.2	983.2	331.172	1.15854	
17	3-Pentanol	Alcohols	C584021	C5H12O	88.1	691.4	161.591	1.20205	
18	Unidentified 2	Unidentifieds	-	-	-	767.8	193.044	1.47531	
19	Unidentified 3	Unidentifieds	-	-	-	724.7	174.613	1.4017	
20	2-Octanol	Alcohols	C123966	C8H18O	130.2	987.4	335.015	1.43633	
21	Unidentified 4	Unidentifieds	-	-	-	758.0	188.677	1.40605	
22	Pentanal	Alcohols	C110623	C5H10O	86.1	689.1	160.717	1.42126	
23	3-Methylbutanal-D	Aldehydes	C590863	C5H10O	86.1	648.2	148.573	1.40308	Dimer
24	Unidentified 5	Unidentifieds	-	-	-	683.9	159.079	1.39323	

25	3-Methylbutanal	Aldehydes	C590863	C5H10O	86.1	642.6	146.988	1.18936	Monomer
26	Pentan-1-ol-D	Alcohols	C71410	C5H12O	88.1	758.7	189.013	1.51542	Dimer
27	Heptanal-D	Aldehydes	C111717	C7H14O	114.2	896.0	260.471	1.69323	Dimer
28	Unidentified 6	Unidentifieds	-	-	-	722.2	173.588	1.43839	
29	Methyl isobutyl ketone-D	Ketones	C108101	C6H12O	100.2	725.2	174.796	1.48144	Dimer
30	2-Methyl-1-propanol	Alcohols	C78831	C4H10O	74.1	620.1	140.782	1.17277	
31	Ethyl Acetate	Esters	C141786	C4H8O2	88.1	601.1	135.745	1.09362	
32	2-Butanone	Ketones	C78933	C4H8O	72.1	579.2	130.193	1.06013	Monomer
33	2-Butanone-D	Ketones	C78933	C4H8O	72.1	578.5	130.021	1.24355	Dimer
34	Unidentified 7	Unidentifieds	-		-	542.1	121.264	1.1233	
35	Unidentified 8	Unidentifieds	-	-	-	488.8	109.494	1.17888	
36	Isopropyl alcohol	Alcohols	C67630	C3H8O	60.1	491.4	110.034	1.09142	Monomer
37	Acetone	Ketones	C67641	C3H6O	58.1	486.2	108.954	1.11527	
38	Isopropyl alcohol-D	Alcohols	C67630	C3H8O	60.1	487.9	109.314	1.22747	Dimer
39	Unidentified 9	Unidentifieds	-	-	-	558.6	125.151	1.30434	
40	1-Butanol	Alcohols	C71363	C4H10O	74.1	662.4	152.685	1.18153	

MW, molecular weight; RI, retention index; Rt, retention time; Dt, drift time.

Compound	LD	GM	BF	P value
Nonanal	$154.64{\pm}30.68^{a}$	148.52 ± 10.15^{a}	232.53 ± 10.46^{b}	0.0251
Octanal	69.40±16.69	68.01±5.68	80.67±3.34	0.6520
Heptanal	108.08 ± 36.91	122.36 ± 17.58	105.13±11.14	0.8696
Hexanal	775.48±104.78	864.76±145.49	663.00±129.99	0.5568
Hexanal-D	504.32±127.32	881.95±463.99	381.92±185.85	0.4929
Methyl isobutyl ketone	315.75±47.97	258.69 ± 25.60	315.36±21.53	0.4239
3-Hydroxybutan-2-one	1065.91±220.35	1261.39±52.77	795.90±120.14	0.1376
2-Pentanone	797.18±44.74 ^b	701.25±49.32 ^{ab}	638.23±47.19 ^a	0.0409
2-Pentanone-D	521.08 ± 69.50^{b}	383.89 ± 43.27^{ab}	298.71 ± 50.84^{a}	0.0198
2-Hexanone	162.34±13.40	156.25 ± 4.34	166.40±7.79	0.7464
3-Hydroxybutan-2-one-D	830.77±355.95	1026.25±182.02	390.05±121.68	0.2162
Benzaldehyde	132.62±23.99 ^b	146.36±16.87 ^b	49.80±3.73 ^a	0.0063
2-Heptanone	115.02±3.69 ^b	117.40 ± 7.62^{b}	93.07 ± 6.94^{a}	0.0441
Pentan-1-ol	97.89±17.49	117.51±52.93	97.23±20.06	0.8940
Oct-1-en-3-ol	45.30±5.65	65.12±18.43	57.51±9.22	0.5418
3-Pentanol	76.89±17.79	69.48±21.95	69.48±21.95	0.9392
2-Octanol	47.28 ± 9.00	40.71±9.25	42.72±13.71	0.9173
Pentanal	12.58±2.46	12.18±2.07	11.12±2.23	0.8949
3-Methylbutanal-D	24.17±8.42	14.89 ± 2.67	9.14 ± 0.87	0.1677
3-Methylbutanal	124.74±27.8 ^b	103.52±11.76 ^b	59.32 ± 7.54^{a}	0.0299
Pentan-1-ol-D	7.51±0.74	7.31±0.44	8.27 ± 0.92	0.6714
Heptanal-D	14.52±3.39	12.92±1.20	12.17 ± 1.07	0.7439
Methyl isobutyl ketone-D	28.48±2.93	24.14 ± 1.75	28.05 ± 2.98	0.4661
2-Methyl-1-propanol	44.11±13.72	52.55±17.58	55.07 ± 15.68	0.8770
Ethyl acetate	65.64±10.22 ^b	41.62±3.80 ^a	53.76 ± 3.21^{ab}	0.0293
2-Butanone	733.00±37.87 ^b	698.21±41.03 ^b	528.22±15.42 ^a	0.0041
2-Butanone-D	295.93±33.00 ^b	255.15 ± 36.86^{b}	116.12 ± 7.16^{a}	0.0042
Isopropyl alcohol	335.95±16.35 ^b	245.77±10.89 ^a	316.49±11.91 ^b	0.0023
Acetone	901.73±67.15	954.87±106.56	1250.26±273.66	0.3547
Isopropyl alcohol-D	111.20±40.94	42.79 ± 5.86	51.99±13.42	0.1681
1-Butanol	48.01±4.38	50.05 ± 3.89	40.83±3.97	0.2943

Table 2 VOCs in different cuts of donkey meat (normalized intensity)

Longissimus dorsi (LD), gluteus maximus (GM), and biceps femoris (BF).

Figures



Fig. 1 VOC profiles of donkey meats from different cuts. Number of volatile compounds (a). Number percentage (b) of volatile compound categories. Relative concentration percentages (c) and concentrations (d) of volatile compound classes. The results are presented as mean \pm SEM (n = 4), *P < 0.05. Longissimus dorsi (LD), gluteus maximus (GM), and biceps femoris (BF).



Fig. 2 Characteristic VOCs in donkey meat. Relative odor activity values were greater than or equal to 1. Longissimus dorsi (LD), gluteus maximus (GM), and biceps femoris (BF).



Fig. 3 Topographic plots of volatile fingerprints for in different cuts of donkey meat. The three-dimensional spectrum (a) and top view (b) of VOCs in meat samples. The fingerprint gallery plots (c) for the VOCs identified in longissimus dorsi (LD), gluteus maximus (GM), and biceps femoris (BF) samples.



Fig. 4 Differential VOCs for donkey meats from different cuts. The orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) results (a) based on GC-IMS data ($R^2X = 0.462, R^2Y = 0.913, Q^2 = 0.653$). Corresponding OPLS-DA validation plots [$R^2 = (0.0, 0.72), Q^2 = (0.0, -0.46)$ (b). Variable importance in projection (VIP) values for VOCs (c). Heatmap of differential VOCs in different meat cut identified using VIP > 1 and *P* < 0.05 (d). Longissimus dorsi (LD), gluteus maximus (GM), and biceps femoris (BF).

Supplemental information

 \succ

Item	Content (%)
Corn	15.50
Soybean	3.00
Salt	0.50
Premix	1.00
Corn straw	50.00
Wheat straw	30.00
Total	100
Nutritional levels ¹	
Dry matter	92.58
Crude protein	5.96
Crude fat	3.01
Crude ash	10.35
Main fatty acids profile (% total fatty acid)	
C16:0	19.42
C18:0	5.04
C18:1	23.97
C18:2n-6	45.88
C18:3n-6	4.62

Table S1. Composition and nutrient levels of the diet (% dry matter basis)

¹Nutritional levels of diet were obtained based on average of repeated measur