



ARTICLE

Antioxidant and Antibacterial Properties of Hovenia (*Hovenia dulcis*) Monofloral Honey Produced in South Korea

Seung Hee Park¹, Young Ki Kim², Moon Seob Kim², and Seung Ho Lee^{1,*}

¹Department of Nano-Bioengineering, Incheon National University, Incheon 22012, Korea

²Division of Special Purpose Trees, National Institute of Forest Science, Suwon 16631, Korea



Received January 3, 2020

Revised January 8, 2020

Accepted January 9, 2020

*Corresponding author : Seung Ho Lee
Department of Nano-Bioengineering, Incheon National University, Incheon 22012, Korea
Tel: +82-32-835-8269
Fax: +82-32-835-0798
E-mail: seungho@inu.ac.kr

*ORCID
Seung Hee Park
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4356-1660>
Young Ki Kim
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1456-3256>
Moon Seob Kim
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5344-4436>
Seung Ho Lee
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9941-4195>

Abstract The aim of this study was to evaluate the antioxidant and antibacterial activity of Hovenia (*Hovenia dulcis*) monofloral honey produced in Korea. To produce Hovenia monofloral honey, Hovenia trees were surrounded by a net house, and honeybees were bred there over a 20-day period. Hovenia monofloral honey contained more than 95% of Hovenia pollen and showed physicochemical properties in agreement with the international honey standard (Codex). The total phenolic and flavonoid contents of Hovenia monofloral honey ranged from a 24.82–27.00 mg gallic acid equivalent/100 g honey and a 0.41–0.46 mg quercetin equivalent/100 g honey, respectively. In addition, to evaluate the functional properties of Hovenia monofloral honey, the antioxidant activity of Hovenia monofloral honey was estimated by using the 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical and the 2,2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical scavenging assay. Furthermore, Hovenia monofloral honey showed an antibacterial activity against foodborne gram positive (*Listeria monocytogenes* and *Staphylococcus aureus*) and gram negative bacteria (*Salmonella Typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7).

Keywords Hovenia (*Hovenia dulcis*) honey, monofloral honey, antioxidant activity, anti-bacterial activity

Introduction

Honey is a well-known natural sweet food and has been considered an important source of traditional medicine (Eteraf-Oskouei and Najafi, 2013). Honey can be classified by the floral source because honeybees use nectar to produce honey. If a honeybee uses the nectar of many types of flowers to produce honey, it is classified as polyfloral honey, and it is also referred to as wildflower honey; however, if a honeybee uses the nectar of one type of flower to produce honey, it is classified as monofloral honey (Louveau et al., 1978). Because pollen is a traceable floral source, a melissopalynological analysis is used to identify the types of plant sources used by

honeybees for the production of honey. When the pollen of monofloral honey is analyzed in practice, many other types of pollen are often detected because honeybees have access to other types of honey plants even if the beehives are in a field where honeybees have access to only one type of honey plant. Therefore, generally, honey is recognized as monofloral honey when the content of the majority of the pollen is more than 45% of the total pollen (Olga et al., 2012; Soria et al., 2004).

There are several types of monofloral honey worldwide. Because each type of monofloral honey has distinct characteristics, such as flavor, taste, and physiochemical properties, which are derived from their botanical origins, there has been increased consumer demand for a better flavor and specific pharmacological attributes of monofloral honey, and thus the commercial value of monofloral honey has gradually increased (Pires et al., 2009).

In South Korea, more than 70% of annual honey production is comprised of Acacia (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) honey; however, recently, the total amount of honey production in South Korea has dramatically decreased because climate change has decreased the period of blooming as well as the growth of the acacia flower, resulting in the reduction of total honey production in South Korea (Kohsaka et al., 2017). Therefore, developing a new candidate for a honey plant to compensate for the decrease in Acacia honey production is strongly required in South Korea. The Hovenia (*Hovenia dulcis*) tree is found in East Asian countries, such as China, Japan, and Korea, and is also reported to be found in the Himalayas up to altitudes of 2,000 m (Hyun et al., 2010). The Hovenia tree prefers to grow in a sunny position, and the blooming period is about 20 days from June to July. The nectar production of the Hovenia flower is higher than that of the Acacia flower (Han et al., 2018; Song et al., 2014). Thus, Hovenia trees have been considered a candidate for honey plants in South Korea. There is a regional report that discusses the antioxidant activity of Hovenia honey produced in South Korea; however, the honey used for the study was harvested in open fields without a pollen analysis, indicating a low reliability regarding the purity of the Hovenia honey used (Paik et al., 2015). Therefore, for a more accurate and reliable evaluation of the value of Hovenia trees as honey plants, an investigation of the physiochemical, antioxidant, and antibacterial properties of Hovenia monofloral honey must be performed before increasing the number of Hovenia trees for the production of honey.

In this study, the physiochemical properties and antioxidant activity of Hovenia monofloral honey, which was prepared using a net house system, was investigated along with the antibacterial activity of Hovenia monofloral honey against foodborne bacteria.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of Hovenia (*Hovenia dulcis*) monofloral honey

Twenty-six Hovenia trees and honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) were cultured in a net house (23 m×13 m×9 m : W×D×H) constructed by the Korea Forest Research Institute (Suwon, Korea), and Hovenia monofloral honey-1 and Hovenia monofloral honey-2 were harvested on June 21, 2019, and July 2, 2019, respectively. Two types of acacia honey were obtained from the Korea Beekeeping Agricultural Cooperative and the National Institute of Forest Science, respectively, and were used as reference honey. Honey samples were stored at 4°C under a dark condition until analysis.

Physiochemical analysis

To determine the moisture content, the honey sample was dried in a dry oven (Wiseven WOF-105, Daihan Scientific, Seoul, Korea) at 105°C until a constant mass was obtained. Ash content was determined by calcinations in an Electric Muffle Furnace (JSMF-270T, JSR, Gongju, Korea) at 600°C until the honey sample reached a constant weight. An electrical

conductivity (EC) of 20% (w/v) of a honey solution was measured using an EC meter. The hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) and the carbon isotope ratio were measured using the standardized method listed in the Korean Food Code (Ministry of Food and Drug Safety of Korea, 2019).

Glucose, fructose, and sucrose content was determined using a high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with an Ri-101 detector (Showa Denko K.K., Kawasaki, Japan). Briefly, the honey sample (5 g) was mixed with 25 mL of petroleum ether. Then, 25 mL of distilled water was added, and it was incubated in a water bath at 85°C for 25 min. The sugar solution extracted from the honey was filtered using a 0.45 µm membrane filter. Finally, it was separated using a PhenoSphere NH2 80A column (250 mm×4.6 mm, 5 µm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). The mobile phase was composed of 80% acetonitrile. The injection volume of the samples was 20 µL with a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min.

Mineral contents

The honey sample (1 g) was mixed with nitric acid (5 mL) and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Then, the mixture was heated at 200°C for 2 h and made 20 mL by adding distilled water. Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, P, and Zn content was measured using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (720 ICP-OES, Agilent Technologies) equipped with a VistaChip II CCD detector (Agilent Technologies). The detection limit of the mineral content was less than 0.1 mg/L.

Melissopalynological analysis

A melissopalynological analysis was performed according to the method of Louveaux (1978) with some modifications. The honey sample (10 g) was diluted in 10 mL of distilled water and incubated at 37°C for 10 min. After the honey solution was centrifuged at 1,500×g for 10 min, the sediment of the honey solution was washed with 5 mL of distilled water and centrifuged again at 1,000×g for 5 min. Then, the sediment was resuspended in 50 µL of 50% (w/v) glycerin. The sediment of the solution was spread on a 22×22 mm area on a slide. More than 300 pollen grains were photographed using a microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti-S, Tokyo, Japan) and counted.

Antioxidant activity

The 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity of Hovenia honey was determined according to the method of Tuberoso (2013). Five hundred µL of an aqueous honey solution (10%, w/w) was mixed with 2.5 mL of a 200 µM DPPH solution and incubated in the dark for 1 h at room temperature. The absorbance of the solution was measured at 517 nm using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Optizen POP, Mecasys, Daejeon, Korea). DPPH scavenging activity was calculated by the following equation:

$$\text{DPPH radical scavenging activity} = [1 - (A - B) / C]$$

Where A is the absorption of all reagents, B is the absorption of the honey solution, and C is the absorption of all reagents without the honey solution. Trolox was used to determine the standard curve (25–300 µM, $r^2=0.995$). The antioxidant capacity was expressed as the µmol of Trolox equivalent (TE)/100 g of honey.

The 2,2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) radical scavenging activity of Hovenia honey was determined according to the methods of Tuberoso (2013). The ABTS radical solution was prepared by reacting 10 mL of 2

mM ABTS in PBS with 0.1 mL of 70 mM potassium persulfate. After 16–24 h of incubation in the dark at room temperature, the ABTS radical solution was diluted with PBS to obtain the absorbency of 0.7 ± 0.1 at 734 nm. The 70 μ L aqueous honey solution (5%, w/w) and the 1.8 mL ABTS radical solution was mixed and incubated for 6 min in the dark at room temperature. Finally, the absorbance of the solution was measured at 734 nm using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Optizen POP, Mecasys). The ABTS radical scavenging activity was calculated by the following equation:

$$\text{ABTS scavenging activity} = [1 - (A - B) / C]$$

Where A is the absorption of all reagents, B is the absorption of the honey solution, and C is the absorption of all reagents without the honey solution. Trolox was used to determine the standard curve (100–500 μ M, $r^2=0.999$). The antioxidant capacity was expressed as the μ mol of Trolox equivalent (TE)/100 g of honey.

Measurement of total phenolic content

The total phenolic content of Hovenia honey was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Meda et al., 2005). The 0.5 mL aqueous honey solution (10%, w/w) was mixed with 2 mL of the 0.2 N Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and incubated at room temperature for 6 min. Then, a 1.5 mL of 7% (w/v) sodium carbonate solution was added and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Finally, the absorbance of the solution was measured at 750 nm using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Optizen POP, Mecasys). Gallic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to determine the standard curve (12.5–200 μ g/mL, $r^2=0.999$). The total phenolic content was expressed as the mg of gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/100 g of honey.

Measurement of total flavonoid content

The total flavonoid content of Hovenia honey was determined according to the methods of Kim et al. (2005). A 0.5 mL aqueous honey solution (20%, w/w), 0.1 mL of 10% (w/v) aluminum nitrate solution, 0.1 mL of 1M potassium acetate solution, 0.5 mL of 80% (v/v) ethanol, and 2.8 mL of distilled water were mixed and incubated for 40 min at room temperature. The absorbance of the solution was measured at 415 nm using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Optizen POP, Mecasys). Quercetin (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to determine the standard curve (10–80 μ g/mL, $r^2=0.999$). The total flavonoid content was expressed as the mg quercetin equivalent (QE)/100 g of honey.

Measuring the antibacterial activity

Four foodborne pathogens were used in the test. The gram negative bacteria, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (ATCC 35150) and *Salmonella* Typhimurium (KCTC 1925), were grown in Luria Bertani Broth (Difco, Michigan, MI, USA) at 37°C in an incubator. The gram positive bacteria, *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213) and *Listria monocytogenes* (ACTC 3569), were grown in Brain Heart Infusion Broth (MB cell, Seoul, Korea) at 37°C in an incubator. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of Hovenia honey was determined by the broth micro-dilution method in 96-well microplates (Bucekova et al., 2018). The 50% (w/v) honey stock solution in a broth medium was diluted at different concentrations ranging from 1.56 to 50% (w/v). 90 μ L of the honey solution was dispensed into each well. The bacterial cultures were diluted to the 10^5 CFU/mL using a broth medium. Then, 10 μ L of the diluted bacterial culture was mixed to test the honey solution and was incubated at 37°C for 18 h. The absorbance of the culture medium was measured at 490 nm using a micro absorbance spectrophotometer

(iMark™ Microplate Reader, Hercules, CA, USA).

Results and Discussion

Production of Hovenia monofloral honey

To produce Hovenia monofloral honey, honeybees and Hovenia trees were bred in a net house (Fig. 1), and honey was harvested on two different day. The purity was determined by a pollen analysis, and both honeys showed more than 95% of Hovenia pollen content, which indicated that the honey produced in the net house was Hovenia monofloral honey (Fig. 2). Because there is no dense, open area with Hovenia trees to produce Hovenia monofloral honey in Korea, even though the




Fig. 1. Net house system used to produce high-purity Hovenia monofloral honey. Hovenia trees were surrounded with a net house (A) and cultivated by honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) (B). Hovenia monofloral honey was harvested two times once per week and was used as Hovenia monofloral honey-1 and -2.




Fig. 2. Pollen analysis of Hovenia monofloral honey. A pollen analysis was performed to estimate the purity of Hovenia monofloral honey produced in a net house. Hovenia pollen was isolated from Hovenia monofloral honey and photographed. Both Hovenia monofloral honey-1 (A and C) and -2 (B and D) contained more than 95% Hovenia pollen. Representative pictures of the Hovenia pollen of Hovenia monofloral honey-1 (A and C) and -2 (B and D) are shown. The size of the scale bar is 20 µm.

honey was harvested near Hovenia trees during the Hovenia blossom season, it may contain several other types of pollen, and the content of major pollen may be less than 45%. In general, when the major content of pollen is less than 45%, the honey is classified as multifloral honey. Thus, the net house, which can produce high-purity monofloral honey, could be an optimal small-scale system used to estimate the potential of the honey plant. Therefore, Hovenia monofloral honey harvested in a net house system is a good source to evaluate the potential of the Hovenia tree as a honey plant.

Physicochemical analysis of Hovenia monofloral honey

The physicochemical properties of Hovenia monofloral honey produced in the net house were investigated to determine the potential of the type of honey. As showed in Table 1, Hovenia monofloral honey was composed of glucose (29.0±0.42%), moisture (18.9±0.28%), fructose (35.9±0.78%), reducing sugar (64.9±0.35%), sucrose (3.9±1.63%), and ash (0.1±0.00%). The contents of HMF were not detected in Hovenia monofloral honey, and the carbon isotope ratio was -26.6±0.14 ‰. All contents of Hovenia monofloral honey were in the range of the international standards by Codex Alimentarius (2001) as well as the food code legislated by the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety of Korea (MFDS, 2019). In addition, the mineral contents of Hovenia monofloral honey included calcium (20.1±1.06 mg/L), potassium (407.5±3.11 mg/L), magnesium (10.7±1.1 mg/L), sodium (1.8±0.28 mg/L), manganese (2.9±0.14 mg/L), phosphorus (20.6±1.77 mg/L), and zinc (13.9±15.1 mg/L) (Table 2). These data suggest that Hovenia monofloral honey produced in a net house system could be used as a primary honey source, and the net house used in this study could be used for the large-scale production of Hovenia monofloral honey as well as other monofloral blossom honeys.

Antioxidant activity of Hovenia monofloral honey

The DPPH and ABTS radical scavenger activity of Hovenia monofloral honey was then evaluated and compared with that of acacia honey. The two types of acacia honey used in this study were identified as monofloral honeys by a pollen analysis (data not shown). As shown in Fig. 3, both Hovenia monofloral honey-1 (36.3±2.71 µmol TE/100 g honey) and -2 (38.7±1.86 µmol TE/100 g honey) showed a significantly ($p<0.05$) higher DPPH radical scavenger activity than that of Acacia honey-1

Table 1. Physicochemical properties of Hovenia monofloral honey

	Glucose (%)	Moisture (%)	Fructose (%)	Reducing sugar (%)	Sucrose (%)	HMF (mg/kg)	F/G ratio	Ash (%)	Electrical conductivity (µS/cm)	Carbon isotope ratio (‰)
HMH-1	29.3±1.3	18.7±0.0	35.3±0.5	64.6±1.7	2.7±0.2	0.0±0.0	1.2±0.06	0.1±0.0	191	-26.7
HMH-2	28.7±0.8	19.1±0.0	36.4±1.5	65.8±3.5	5.0±0.7	0.0±0.0	1.27±0.06	0.1±0.0	172	-26.5
Ave.	29.0±0.4	18.9±0.28	35.9±0.78	64.9±0.35	3.9±1.6	0.0±0.0	1.24±0.05	0.1±0.0	181.5±13.4	-26.6±0.1

HMF, hydroxymethylfurfural; F/G ratio, fructose/glucose ratio; HMH, Hovenia monofloral honey.

Table 2. The mineral contents of Hovenia monofloral honey

	Mineral (mg/L)								
	Ca	Cu	Fe	K	Mg	Mn	Na	P	Zn
HMH-1	19.3±2.9	<0.1	<0.1	409.7±3.1	9.9±0.3	2.8±0.0	2.0±0.3	19.3±0.1	3.2±0.1
HMH-2	20.8±0.5	<0.1	<0.1	405.3±8.1	11.5±0.1	3.0±0.0	1.6±0.1	21.8±0.1	24.6±0.2
Ave.	20.1±1.06	<0.1	<0.1	407.5±3.11	10.7±1.13	2.9±0.14	1.8±0.28	20.6±1.77	13.9±15.1

HMH, Hovenia monofloral honey.




Fig. 3. Antioxidant property, total phenol, and total flavonoid contents of Hovenia monofloral honey. The DPPH radical scavenger activity (A) and ABTS radical scavenger activity (B) of Hovenia monofloral honey were estimated and compared with those of Acacia honey. The contents of the total phenol (C) and total flavonoid (D) of Hovenia monofloral honey were measured according to the method described in the Material and Methods section. Different letters indicate the significant differences between the groups ($p < 0.05$). DPPH, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl; ABTS, 2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid).

(22.4 ± 2.13 $\mu\text{mol TE}/100$ g honey) and -2 (13.9 ± 0.95 $\mu\text{mol TE}/100$ g honey); however, although the ABTS radical scavenger activity of the Acacia honey-2 sample (110.4 ± 6.63 $\mu\text{mol TE}/100$ g honey) was slightly lower than that of Hovenia monofloral honeys, the Acacia honey 1 sample (130.6 ± 6.74 $\mu\text{mol TE}/100$ g honey) showed a similar ABTS radical scavenger activity as that of Hovenia monofloral honeys (Hovenia monofloral honey 1; 129.5 ± 7.07 $\mu\text{mol TE}/100$ g honey, Hovenia monofloral honey 2; 141.9 ± 5.49 $\mu\text{mol TE}/100$ g honey). These results indicate that Hovenia monofloral honey has a higher antioxidant activity than Acacia honey when tested with a DPPH radical but not with an ABTS radical. Interestingly, the amounts of total phenol and total flavonoid were not significantly different between Hovenia monofloral honey and Acacia honey (Fig. 3C and 3D). Therefore, as the DPPH assay was used to identify the role of hydrophobic antioxidants in the samples (Arnao et al., 2000), the data suggested that the type of hydrophobic antioxidants may be different between Hovenia monofloral honey and Acacia honey. A detailed analysis of the single components of Hovenia monofloral honey will be performed in a future study.

Antibacterial activity of Hovenia monofloral honey

To estimate the antibacterial activity of Hovenia monofloral honey, the minimum inhibitory activity of Hovenia monofloral honey against four foodborne bacteria, including *E. coli* O157:H7, *S. Typhimurium*, *S. aureus*, and *L. monocytogenes* was evaluated (Fig. 4 and Table 3). Hovenia monofloral honey showed MIC values of 25%–50% (w/v) against two gram negative foodborne bacteria, *E. coli* O157:H7 and *S. Typhimurium*, and MIC value of 25% (w/v) against two gram positive foodborne bacterial, *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogenes*. The MIC values are similar to that of Acacia honey (Fig. 4 and Table 3), suggesting that Hovenia monofloral honey produced in a net house system has a strong antibacterial activity and can




Fig. 4. Hovenia monofloral honey inhibited the growth of foodborne bacteria. The growth of gram positive (*S. aureus* and *L. monocytogenes*) and gram negative (*E. coli* O157:H7 and *S. Typhimurium*) bacteria were measured with Hovenia monofloral honey-1(A), Hovenia monofloral honey-2(B), Acacia honey-1(C), and Acacia honey-2(D) to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). The optimum density (OD) of each bacteria type was measured using a UV spectrometer at 490 nm.

Table 3. Antibacterial activity of Hovenia monofloral honey

	MIC (% w/v)			
	Gram negative		Gram positive	
	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>
HMH-1	50	50	25	25
HMH-2	25	25	25	25
Acacia honey-1	50	50	50	25
Acacia honey-2	50	25	25	25

MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; HMH, Hovenia monofloral honey.

be used for food preservation against food pathogens. Furthermore, the MIC values of artificial honey which constituted with sugars (glucose: 33.5 g, fructose: 40.5 g, sucrose: 1.5 g, maltose: 7.5 g in DW: 17 mL) against foodborne bacteria were more

than 50% (w/v) (data not shown) indicated that a part of antibacterial activity of Hovenia monofloral honey was derived from honey constituents other than sugar such as phenols and flavonoids.

Conclusion

In this study, high-purity Hovenia monofloral honey was produced using a net house system, and its physiochemical properties, such as the contents of sugar, minerals, total phenolic acid, and total flavonoids, were evaluated. Hovenia monofloral honey showed DPPH and ABTS radical scavenger activities and antibacterial activity against gram positive and gram negative foodborne bacteria. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first evaluation of Hovenia monofloral honey, and it can be used to evaluate the potential of the Hovenia tree as a honey plant. Furthermore, because the amount of nectar per flower bud of the Hovenia tree is higher than that of the Acacia (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) tree, the Hovenia tree could be a candidate to compensate for the loss of the Acacia tree as a honey plant.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This research was also supported by an Incheon National University research grant in 2017.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Lee SH. Data curation: Lee SH. Formal analysis: Lee SH. Methodology: Park SH, Kim MS, Lee SH. Software: Park SH, Kim YK. Validation: Park SH, Kim YK. Investigation: Park SH, Lee SH. Writing - original draft: Lee SH. Writing - review & editing: Park SH, Kim YK, Kim MS, Lee SH.

Ethics Approval

This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

References

- Arnao MB. 2000. Some methodological problems in the determination of antioxidant activity using chromogen radicals: A practical case. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 11:419-421.
- Bucekova M, Juricova V, Monton E, Martinotti S, Ranzato E, Majtan J. 2018. Microwave processing of honey negatively affects honey antibacterial activity by inactivation of bee-derived glucose oxidase and defensin-1. *Food Chem* 240:1131-1136.
- Codex Alimentarius. 2001. Codex standard for honey. Codex Stan 12-1981. Available from: http://www.fao.org/input/download/standards/310/cxs_012e.pdf. Accessed at Nov 11, 2019.
- Eteraf-Oskouei T, Najafi M. 2013. Traditional and modern uses of natural honey in human diseases: A review. *Iran J Basic*

- Med Sci 16:731-742.
- Han JG, Kim SH. 2008. Flowering and nectar secretion characteristics of honey palnt, *Hovenia dulcis* var. *koreana* Nakai. Korean J Apiculture 23:199-205.
- Hyun TK, Eom SH, Yu CY, Roitsch T. 2010. *Hovenia dulcis*: An Asian traditional herb. Planta Med 76:943-949.
- Kim EJ, Lee HJ, Kim HJ, Nam HS, Lee MK, Kim HY, Lee JH, Kang YS, Lee JO, Kim HY. 2005. Comparison of colorimetric methods for the determination of flavonoid in propolis extract products. Korean J Food Sci Technol 37:918-921.
- Kohsaka R, Park MS, Uchiyama Y. 2017. Beekeeping and honey production in Japan and South Korea: Past and present. J Ethn Foods 4:72-79.
- Louveaux J, Maurizio A, Vorwohl G. 1978. Methods of melissopalynology. Bee World 59:139-157.
- Meda A, Lamien CE, Romito M, Millogo J, Nacoulma OG. 2005. Determination of the total phenolic, flavonoid and proline contents in Burkina Fasan honey, as well as their radical scavenging activity. Food Chem 91:571-577.
- Ministry of Food and Drug Safety of Korea [MFDS]. 2019. Food code. Available from: http://www.mfds.go.kr/brd/m_211/list.do. Accessed at Nov 11, 2019.
- Olga E, María FG, Carmen SM. 2012. Differentiation of blossom honey and honeydew honey from northwest Spain. Agriculture 2:25-37.
- Paik WK, Kwak AK, Lee ML, Sim HS. 2015. Studies on the chemical characteristics of *Hovenia (Hovenia dulcis)* honey produced in Korea. Korean J Environ Agric 30:75-85.
- Pires J, Estevinho ML, Feás X, Cantalapiedra J, Iglesias A. 2009. Pollen spectrum and physico-chemical attributes of heather (*Erica* sp.) honeys of north Portugal. J Sci Food Agric 89:1862-1870.
- Soria AC, González M, de Lorenzo C, Martínez-Castro I, Sanz J. 2004. Characterization of artisanal honeys from Madrid (Central Spain) on the basis of their melissopalynological, physicochemical and volatile composition data. Food Chem 85:121-130.
- Song JH, Kim SH, Kim H, Kim MS. 2014. New cultivars multiplication of oriental raisin tree (*Hovenia dulcis* var. *koreana* Nakai) by veneer grafting. Korean J Plant Resour 27:183-187.
- Tuberoso CIG, Boban M, Bifulco E, Budimir D, Pirisi FM. 2013. Antioxidant capacity and vasodilatory properties of Mediterranean food: The case of Cannonau wine, myrtle berries liqueur and strawberry-tree honey. Food Chem 140:686-691.